1	[YOUR NAME]
2	[YOUR ADDRESS] [YOUR PHONE NUMBER] [YOUR EMAIL ADDRESS]
3	
4	In Pro Per
5	
6	
7	
8	THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF [ENTER COUNTY NAME HERE]—UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
10	[NAME], an individual, CASE NO:
11	Plaintiffs COMPLAINT FOR PARTITION
12	VS.
13	[NAME], and DOES 1-20,
14 15	Defendants. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16	Plaintiff [SPECIFY] alleges:
17	SUMMARY
18	1. This suit seeks a judicial declaration and partition by sale of real property in
19	[SPECIFY] California, and off-set for amounts contributed.
20	REAL PROPERTY TO BE PARTITIONED
21	<b>2.</b> The real property to be partitioned is a single-family home located at [ADDRESS,
22	CITY], California [ZIP CODE, bears [SPECIFY] County Assessor Parcel Number [SPECIFY],
23	contains a [SPECIFY] County Zoning Designation of [SPECIFY], which is limited for use to a
24	[SPECIFY], and which are more particularly described in the legal description attached as
25	<b>Exhibit "A,"</b> which is incorporated by reference here (the "Property").
26	///
27	///
28	1//
	-1-
	COMPLAINT

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Partition by Private Sale against the Defendants)

- **14.** The Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth here, the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 13, inclusive, of this Complaint.
- **15.** The Property is a [SPECIFY] making a partition by sale the more equitable remedy. A physical partition is infeasible.
- **16.** The Property is not subject to partition in-kind because, among other things, the Subdivision Map Act, local ordinances, zoning ordinance, and/or the general plan for the area make it unreasonably difficult and/or impossible to physically partition the Property into separate parcels equal to the Parties' respective interests.
- 17. A physical partition of the Property will cause the dramatic reduction in the Property's value, thereby prejudicing the Parties.
- **18.** A physical partition of the Property would substantially diminish the value of each Party's interest, which would be materially less than the share of the proceeds that the Parties would receive through the sale of the Property as a whole, thereby resulting in a loss to the Parties.
- **19.** The Plaintiff requests that the Court partition the Property and all interests by private sale as this manner of partition appears to be appropriate under the circumstances.
- **20.** The Plaintiffs bring this partition action for the Parties' common benefit to preserve and secure to each of them their respective interests and rights in the Property.
- **21.** The Plaintiff incurred and will incur costs of partition here, including attorneys' fees, for the common benefit of the Parties here in an amount not yet ascertainable.
  - **22.** THUS, the Plaintiff prays for relief as set forth below.

## **PRAYER**

- **A.** For a judgment that Plaintiff owns 50% of the Property, and that Defendant owns 50% of the Property, and that no other persons have any interest in the real property;
- **B.** For a judgment partitioning the Property by sale, and that any encumbrance be paid from the proceeds of the sale, together with costs and expenses of this action and the sale, and the net proceeds then be divided between Plaintiffs and Defendants in accordance with their respective

interests;

- **C.** For the appointment of a partition referee under Code of Civil Procedure section 873.010 to prepare the Property for marketing, and to market and sell the Property with the approval of the Court;
- **D.** For a final accounting between the Parties for expenditures for repairs, improvements, payments on encumbrances, and other expenditures for the benefit of the entire property.
- **E.** For a final accounting between the Parties for allowance, contribution, improvements, rents, profits, payments, repairs, and other expenditures for the benefit of the entire property;
- **F.** For an order of disbursement according to the Accounting, including the right to a judgment in accordance with the Accounting, which judgment may be secured by a lien on, and paid out of, the Defendants' portion of the proceeds from sale;
- **G.** For a judgment imposing the costs that the Plaintiffs' expended for the Property on the Defendants' interest, which judgment shall be secured by and paid out of the Defendants' portion of the net proceeds from the sale;
- **H.** For attorneys' fees, costs, and experts' and referees' expenses under Code of Civil Procedure sections 874.010 to 874.050, which judgment shall be secured by a lien on, and paid out of, the Defendants' portion of the net proceeds from the sale.
- **I.** For attorneys' fees, costs, and experts' expenses under Code of Civil Procedure sections 874.010 to 874.050, which judgment shall be secured by a lien on the Defendants' respective interests in the Property or proceeds of the sale of the Property.
  - **J.** For statutory pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate;
  - **K.** For all such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

## **REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL**

The Plaintiffs demand a trial-by-jury of all issues so triable.

By:  [SPECIFY] Plaintiff In Pro Per
[SPECIFY] Plaintiff
[SPECIFY] Plaintiff
[SPECIFY] Plaintiff
Plaintiff In Pro Per
In Pro Per
BOEHME v. WACKER YOLO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 2021-2209
-5- COMPLAINT

## PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I am over 18 years of age, not a party to the above-entitled action, employed in Sacramento County, and my business address is 770 "L" Street, Suite 916, Sacramento, California 95814. On 3 April 15, 2022, I served the following: 4 PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION, AND MEMO, FOR AN ORDER **SHORTENING** TIME, **AND MOTION** TO **MODIFY**/ VACATE 5 UNDERTAKING 6 M via Electronic Mail from nicole@underwood.legal per CCP § 1010.6(e) 7 on the parties to this action at the addresses indicated below: Joshua D. Cohen Counsel for Defendant and Cross-Complainant 9 Katherine Kao Doris Wacker WENDEL ROSEN LLP 10 111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, CA 94607-4036 11 jcohen@wendel.com kkao@wendel.com 12 Kevin Brodehl Counsel for Nominal Defendant El Macero Village 13 Zachary B. Young Apartment Investments, a general partnership Jessica M. Garcia 14 Patton Sullivan Brodehl LLP 15 12647 Alcosta Boulevard, Suite 430 San Ramon, CA 94583 16 kbrodehl@psblegal.com zyoung@psblegal.com 17 jgarcia@psblegal.com 18 Steven H. Holland Counsel for Plaintiff-in-Intervention Bridge Justin J. Schnitzer Partners II, LLC 19 Ring Hunter Holland & Schenone, LLP 3697 Mt. Diablo Boulevard, Suite 205 20 Lafayette, CA 94549 21 sholland@rhhslaw.com jschnitzler@rhhslaw.com 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is 23 true and correct and that this Declaration is executed at Sacramento, California. 24 25 NICOLE TARRIELA 26 27 28